

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,815	09/30/2003	Philip J. Haarstad	P0010855.01 6667	
²⁷⁵⁸¹ MEDTRONIC,	7590 09/07/200 INC.	7	EXAMINER	
710 MEDTRO	NIC PARKWAY NE		GILBERT, SAMUEL G	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		H	. •	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/675,815	HAARSTAD ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Samuel G. Gilbert	3735	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ne 2007.		
	_ ;	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 37-82 is/are pending in the application	1.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>37-49,52,57,68 and 7</u>	<u>77</u> is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.	
· —	Claim(s) <u>78-82</u> is/are allowed.		·	
	Claim(s) 50 and 55 is/are rejected.			
	7) Claim(s) <u>51,53,54,58-67 and 69-76</u> is/are objected to.			
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers		•	
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) 🔲 🧻	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		Na Na	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	, ,		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	u in tilis National Stage	
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	d.	
_			 -	

Attachment(s)

1)	\mathbf{Z}	Notice of	References	Cited ((PTO-	892

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
_	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :7/20/2005; 12/4/2006; 1/20/2004; 6/14/2007.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-36, 68 and 77 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 6/14/2007.

The applicant argued that claims 37, 50 and 78 are generic because they are generic to two or more species and therefore should be examined. The Examiner disagrees because a claim is only considered generic for restriction/election practice if the claim is generic to all embodiments. Claims 37-49, 52 and 57 are also being withdrawn by the examiner because the elected embodiment does not include a removable 3rd portion. The elected embodiment requires the third portion remain on the shaft to attach the suction head.

This application contains claims 1-49, 52, 57, 68 and 77 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 6/14/2007. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/675,815

Art Unit: 3735

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 50 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kochamba et al (6,251,065, hereinafter Kochamba) in view of Sterman et al (5,735,290, hereinafter Sterman).

Claim 50 - arm -98- is an elongated shaft is provided, column 9 lines 66,67, element -100- is a shaft fastener element, element -10- is a suction head that is provided, the suction pad is positioned against the heart and suction is applied, column 9 lines 1-64. After the suction pad is position arm -98- is attached by inserting arm -98and connecting socket -100- with ball -58-. The suction head is provided through a trocar sheath or mini thoracotomy, column 8 lines 63-65. However, the method does not set forth performing the procedure through the second opening. Sterman teaches a coronary bypass method performed through a plurality of trocar sheaths -10-, -12-, -14-, and -16-. A plurality of sheaths are required to allow grasping tools and surgical equipment to be used during the procedure, it is generally accepted that one tool is used through one trocar opening and this type of surgery requires a plurality of tools to be used simultaneously. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the medical arts at the time the invention was made to perform the surgery as set forth by Kochamba through a plurality of surgical incisions with corresponding trocars to allow the method to be performed through by "mini" thoracotomy as set forth by Sterman to gain the advantage of minimally invasive surgery which provides a shorter recovery time thereby reducing patient discomfort and reducing costs of the surgery.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,815

Art Unit: 3735

Claim 55 - the shaft is positioned in an operative vector in 3-D space and rigidly maintained to allow the surgery to be performed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 51, 53, 54, 58-67, 69-76 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 78-82 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method as claimed including advancing a first portion through a first incision and through a second incision coupling a second portion to the first portion outside the body placing the second portion in engagement with the body organ applying suction and performing the surgery through the second incision, claim 78; and releasing suction, moving the suction head away from the organ and disconnecting the suction head from the shaft inside the patient, claim 51.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G. Gilbert whose telephone number is 571-272-4725. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 3735

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571-272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Samuel G. Gilbert **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3735